ofgem Making a positive difference for energy consumers

Network Governance in Changing Circumstances - Energy

Regulatory Policy Institute Annual Westminster Conference

Martin Crouch 27 April 2016





Overview

- Basic model
- Developments to date
- Future changes



Basic model

- Transmission and distribution networks separate from generation and supply
- Competition in generation and supply
- Separating Transmission into network asset business and System Operator (SO)
 - Core SO: real-time balancing
 - Wider SO: market facilitation
- Transmission and Distribution both subject to incentive-based price controls, increasingly focussed on delivering what customers and network users want



Selected developments to date/current

- Locational signals
- Competition in connections
- Offshore transmission
- Strategic Wider Works
- Interconnection
- Onshore competition
- Enhanced SO vs ISO
- ENTSOs
- Code governance

Extensive development of price control methodology to align shareholder and customer interests, eventually leading to RIIO – not covered here



Do locational signals affect generation investment?

CCGT build in England and Wales (illustrative)





Selected developments to date/current

- Locational signals
- Competition in connections
- Offshore transmission
- Strategic Wider Works
- Interconnection
- Onshore competition
- Enhanced SO vs ISO
- ENTSOs
- Code governance



Transmission network planning decisions

- Transmission decisions take account of projections about generation and demand (including location)
- Difficult to predict: GB experience with "user commitment", auctions for gas transmission capacity, strategic wider works
- On a European scale, market coupling gives us zonal prices
- Current price signals don't (can't) tell us where to build transmission – timing mismatch



Can we use future price signals? Example of interconnection

- Why?
 - regulation is an imperfect substitute for competition
 - competition rewards value, regulation traditionally based on costs
 - price differences tell us value => use the information
- We recognise:
 - congestion rents ≠ value; but we could calculate value, could address incentive to under-size
 - keeping down cost of capital is important for value for money infrastructure; but making good decisions is vital
 - if the developer captures full value, no value for consumers (value>economic costs)



Selected developments to date/current

- Locational signals
- Competition in connections
- Offshore transmission
- Strategic Wider Works
- Interconnection
- Onshore competition
- Enhanced SO vs ISO
- ENTSOs
- Code governance



Enhanced SO or ISO

- Work in 2013/14 identified enhancements to SO role
 - Stronger coordination in planning (onshore/offshore/interconnection)
 - Broader system considerations
 - More proactive/forward-looking
- Lesson from introducing competition structural separation works better, but not essential
- Conflicts of interest
 - current separation isn't seen to be effective



Future changes

- Increasingly decentralised energy system
- Different technologies becoming lower cost
 up to approx 20% per year cost reductions halving every 3 years
- Active DSO, interacting with TSO
- Key regulatory role to ensure pricing of system benefits and costs, so as to facilitate entry/innovation and competition/investment
- Inter-modal competition, potential stranding



Networks are changing...

Energy system transformation means networks won't operate in the same way as today:

- Two-way flows and gas-elec interactions
- May see a blurring of transmission and distribution networks
- Electrification of heat and transport? Not so simple a solution...
- Predictions that the gas network will become obsolete seem premature
- Predictions that the electricity network will be obsolete are even more premature but not totally impossible....





Findings from our work on new business models

- Most action at distribution level (Distributed Generation and active citizenship)
- Lots of activity around solar
- Need for much greater flexibility, including the flexibility that heat networks can provide through thermal storage
- ICT important in smart grids but also to enable new data driven business models e.g. peer to peer
- As well as technology drivers, there are also social drivers e.g. consumer engagement, community energy



How might we pay for energy?

Winners and losers?

13



Things to think about...

- 1. Need for systems thinking as energy market becomes more complex
 - Unpacking "flexibility" focus on services not technologies and level playing field not separate treatment
 - Need to understand the heat/transport interactions with the power sector
 - Energy efficiency and Demand Side Response are still low regret options
 - Differential impacts on different groups
 - Single buyer, systems architect or decentralised decisions?
- 2. Future of network infrastructure
 - Gas decommissioning/repurposing
 - Scale of future electricity transmission network "peak transmission"?
 - Convergence/confusion of transmission and distribution networks/services
- 3. Regulating new business models
 - Distinguishing regulatory barriers from arguments for support
 - Customer engagement with sufficient consumer protection eg information
 - Does decentralised energy provide the exit government seeks?
- 4. Cybersecurity (Individual data protection and System security)



- Launched 23rd March
- Intent: draw from a broad review of existing work and engagement with stakeholders, to help steer future Ofgem actions





Ofgem is the Office of Gas and Electricity Markets.

Our priority is to protect and to make a positive difference for all energy consumers. We work to promote value for money, security of supply and sustainability for present and future generations. We do this through the supervision and development of markets, regulation and the delivery of government schemes.

We work effectively with, but independently of, government, the energy industry and other stakeholders. We do so within a legal framework determined by the UK government and the European Union.